

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,764	600,764 06/23/200		Eddy Lambert	016782-0280	5710	
22428	7590	05/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY A		DNER	COLE, ELIZABETH M			
	SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20007					
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,764	LAMBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOlatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11,21-32,34,36,	38 and 41-46 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-8,12-20,33,35,37,39 and 40 is/a	re rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	<b>52</b> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been	Application No	ge
application from the International Bur		raceivad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB.</li> </ol>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/03.	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/600,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8,12-20, 33, 35, 37, 39 and 40 in the reply filed on 4/19/05 is acknowledged.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim1-8, 12-20, 33, 35, 37, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0628146 in view of Krupnik et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,298,538. EP '146 discloses a burner membrane for a radiant burner comprising a compressed web of stainless steel fibers. The web has a porosity of about 78-88 percent. The burner is perforated with a series of perforation. The fibers can be formed from shavings. The fibers can have a diameter of 35-150 microns. See example 1. EP '146 differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose that the web is needled and does not disclose the claimed basis weight. With regard tot eh basis weight, since the basis weight is directly related the strength, thickness and porosity of the final product, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the desired basis weight through the process of routine experimentation which produced a finished product having the desired properties. With regard to the needling, Krupnik teaches that needling nonwoven webs formed from metal fibers such as stainless steel fibers produces a stronger product.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,764 Page 3

**Art Unit: 1771** 

See abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have needled the web of EP '146, in order to produce a stronger fabric.

4. Claims 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP '146 in view of Krupnik as applied to claims above, and further in view of De Bruyne et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,088,919. EP '146 does not disclose coating the fibers with a coating that activates the oxidation of the burner fuel mixture. The fibers can be coated with a material which activates the oxidation of the burner fuel mixture. See col. 4, lines 35-49. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have coated the fibers of EP '146 with the coating of De Bruyne et al, motivated by the expectation that this would enhance the heat resistance of the fibers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Elizabeth M. Cole

ELIZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER